COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



AHR 0 3 2005

In the Matter of:

PUBLIC SURVICE

APPLICATION OF JACKSON PURCHASE

ENERGY CORPORATION FOR

ADJUSTMENTS IN EXISTING CABLE

TELEVISION ATTACHMENT TARIFF

)

(CASE NO. 2004-00319)

SUPPLEMENTAL

RESPONSE OF JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION TO FIRST DATA REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF

DORSEY, KING, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD 318 Second Street Henderson, Kentucky 42420 Telephone (270) 826-3965 Telefax (270) 826-6672 Attorneys for Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation

Frank N. King, Jr

I hereby certify that this Response has been served upon Gardner F. Gillespie, Hogan & Harston, L.L.P., 555 Thirteenth Street, Washington, D.C. 20004-1109, and Frank F. Chuppe, Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs, LLP, 500 West Jefferson Street, Suite 2600, Louisville, KY 40202, attorneys for Kentucky Cable Telecommunications Association; and John E. Selent and Holly C. Wallace, Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP, 1400 PNC Plaza, 500 West Jefferson Street, Louisville, KY 40202, attorneys for Ballard Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., by sending a true and correct copy of same by overnight delivery via FedEx on this 2nd day of August, 2005.

counsel for Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation

JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION SUPPLEMENTALRESPONSE TO FIRST DATA REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF CASE NO. 2004-00319

1	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE
2	
3 4	Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation ("JPEC") filed its Response to First Data Request of Commission Staff on July 20, 2005. Subsequent to that
5	filing JPEC has filed an Amended Application in which proposed CATV rates are
6	based on adherence to the Case No. 251 uniform methodology, including the use
7	of the rate of return in JPEC's last general rate case that had a rate of return
8 9	stipulated in its order. JPEC is using the following components in the rate calculations in its Amended Application, to-wit:
10	
11	Rate of Return (Case No. 8863) – 8.88%
12	Appurtenance Adjustment Factor – 85%
13	Per Ground Value \$12.50
14	The state of the s
15	In light of the Amended Application filing, JPEC's original
16	Response to First Data Request of Commission Staff is hereby modified as
17	follows:
18 19	Introduction - In the calculation of proposed rates JPEC is not changing the
20	percentage adjustment for minor appurtenances or the amount per ground, but is
21	using the factors set forth in the final order in Case No. 251.
22	
23	<u>Item 1</u> – The information in the original Response is correct but is now irrelevant.
24	
25	<u>Item 4</u> – The second paragraph of the original Response is deleted.
26	The state of the s
27	Item 5 — While the information in the original Response is correct, it is irrelevant
28	because JPEC is now using the net value of its entire utility plant in calculating the
29	rate of return.
30 31	Item 6 – JPEC is now using the rate of return in its last general rate case in which
32	the order stipulated a rate of return, which is 8.88% from Case No. 8863.
33	Therefore the depreciation rates that resulted from the Commission's Order in
34	2002-00485 are now irrelevant.
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	